UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,314	04/17/2007	Chaogang Lu	4202-02900	7532
97698 Huawei Techno	7590 01/04/201 blogies Co., Ltd.	EXAMINER		
c/o Conley Rose	e, P.C.	HUSSAIN, FARRUKH		
5601 Granite Pa Plano, TX 7502			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,314	LU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FARRUKH HUSSAIN	2444	

		FARRUKH HUSSAIN	2444	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE RE	EPLY FILED <u>10 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🔯 Ti th pl a	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on is application, applicant must timely file one of the follow aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliancine periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
have beo under 37 set forth may red	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. 🔲 TI fil	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
	MENTS	within the time period set forth in	57 Of 11 41.57(a).	
	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further contact.			ecause
,	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	,	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(c	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. 🔲 1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🔯 F ho TI C	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) low the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
C	laim(s) objected to: <i>None.</i> laim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u><i>None</i>.</u>			
8. 🔲 Ti be	NIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but The ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and The arriver presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ei sł	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(lls to provide a I).
REQUE	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. 	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu :	t does ino i place the application i	n condition for allowal	ice pecause:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	LIAM C VAUGHN JR/ visory Patent Examiner, Art Unit	/F. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444		

Continuation of 13. Other: Point A. The applicants argued that the Examiner asserts that Jain's paragraph 5, lines 1-l0 discloses that the PML router assigns a label for the protection LSP. See Office Action dated October 6, 2010, pp. 5-6. However, Jain modifies the packet by exchanging the outgoing label for the prior label before forwarding the packet along this next hop, rather than assignning a label for the protection LSP:

As to Point A, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Jain does assign a label for the protection LSP (See paragraph 0100 lines 1-15 used as the appropriate label for the next hop for the appropriate protection LSP)

Point B. The applicants argued that the combination of Lewis, Jain, and Owens fails to disclose a message that comprises label binding infomaation comprising an identifier of the work LSP, a type of the LSP and a protection mode.

As to Point B, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Owens does disclose a message that comprises label binding infomaation comprising an identifier of the work LSP (see column 2 lines 44-54 switches 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 (identified by reference numerals 104, 106, 108, 110 and 112 respectively). ... This path is known in the art as the working or primary path through the network.), a type of the LSP (see column 11, lines 54-67 When traffic is mapped onto a label-switched path in this way, we call the LSP an "LSP Tunnel". (a type of the LSP)) and a protection mode (see column 14, lines 47-60 so called protection path is preferably set up in advance and maintained in a stand by mode (protection mode)).

Point C The applicant's argued that the combination of Lewis, Jain, and Owens does not disclose binding information comained in the first message, a second message, and notification message, and the PSL and PML binding the work LSP and protection LSP. As to Point C, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Owens does disclose binding information contained in the first message, a second message, and notification message, and the PSL and PML binding the work LSP and protection LSP. (see column 11, lines 1-31 a binding between outgoing labels specifying the working path and the protection/recovery path...A "label distribution protocol" is a set of procedures by which one LSR (i.e., a network switch element) informs (notification message) another of the label bindings it has made. "Label binding" is a process by which a message (first message) to be sent from a source to a destination is associated with various labels between the nodes that lie along the way,... "label binding." Two or more LSRs, (network switch elements) which use a label distribution protocol to exchange label binding information are known as "label distribution peers" with respect to the binding information they exchange.))